

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/721,234	11/26/2003	Kazuma Sekiya	33773M056	5686		
441	7590 05/09/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800			HEINRICH, S	HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1725			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/_ /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	80
	10/721,234	SEKIYA, KAZUMA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 I	February 2006	•	
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.	. •	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		prosecution as to the mer	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
•		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraution 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		•
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 November 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the properties of	ation No ved in this National Stag	e
·			
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)	ı

Application/Control Number: 10/721,234

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of JP2000349112A or in view of JP02001047399A and further in view of JP361179434A. AAPA describes (Specification, Description of the Prior Art) well known saw cutting of wafers having organic film laminated thereon. AAPA also discloses well known laser cutting of wafers. Both JP2000349112A and JP02001047399A describe processing methods in which cutting is performed using either of a laser or a blade. The use of a laser in the AAPA process would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the laser provides quick, accurate, precise cuts. JP361179434A discloses well known water soluble film. The choice of water soluble film would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because it provides for economical cleaning.

Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of JP2000349112A or in view of JP02001047399A and further in view of JP355105334A or in view of JP363293970A and in view of JP361179434A. AAPA describes (Specification, Description of the Prior

Art Unit: 1725

Art) well known saw cutting of wafers having organic film laminated thereon. AAPA also discloses well known wafer laser cutting. Both JP2000349112A and JP02001047399A describe processing methods in which cutting is performed using either a laser or a blade. JP355105334A describes laser treatment of a wafer wherein the laser is transmitted to the wafer through a glass or transparent resin (or the like) support wall. JP363293970A describes laser trimming wherein the laser is transmitted onto an electrode pattern through an insulating film. Transmitting laser through a resin would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the resin material provides workpiece support during processing. The use of a laser in the AAPA process would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the laser provides quick, accurate, precise cuts. JP361179434A discloses well known water soluble film. The choice of water soluble film would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because it provides for economical cleaning.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited art pertains to chip manufacture and laser processes.

Art Unit: 1725

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

· Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

SMH